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This survey was conducted by The Marine Fisheries Research Department (MFRD) Chemistry Laboratory of the Fish Quality Management and Technology Branch as part of the Japanese Trust Fund II Program on the Research and Analysis of Chemical Residues and Contamination in Fish and Fish Products.

## 1. Introduction

In 2007, Singapore's per capita consumption of fish is 19.5 kg . Though highly nutritious, fish pose potential health concern, as it could be contaminated with environmentally persistent chemicals. Fish is able to accumulate large amounts of toxic contaminants from their living environment. One group of contaminants accumulated by aquatic organisms is heavy metals such as Mercury, Arsenic, Cadmium and Lead. They are cumulative poisons, which are not detoxified by metabolic activities.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has divided metals into two categories, namely hazardous and non-hazardous. Hazardous metals includes Mercury, Cadmium and Lead. Inorganic Arsenic, though known to be toxic to human beings, is not included in this list because its concentration in the environment is low.

The heavy metals are usually released to the atmosphere from both natural and human activities with the majority being terrestrial sources. Most of the toxic action of heavy metals involve binding to the metabolically amino-, sulphydryl-, carboxyl-, phenolic- or phosphoryl- groups. Toxicity is mainly determined by its solubility, stability and biological reactivity.

Mercury poisoning can result in loss of vision, hearing and intellectual abilities and nervous disorder and the degree of poisoning is dependent on many factors such as the dietary level and the age of the fish, microbial activity, salinity, pH and redox potential.

Cadmium, on the other hand, is a highly toxic metal because of the absence of homeostatic control for the metal in the human body. Ingestion of small amounts of cadmium would cause symptoms of nausea and headache while long-term exposure to the metal could cause renal damage and bone brittleness. Cadmium poisoning also leads to kidney malfunction and a drop in the phosphate level of the blood serum.

Lead is another metal that can affect the nervous system and the intellectual development in children. It is a general protoplasmic poison, which is cumulative and slow-acting due to its relatively low solubility in water and in cells. It inhibits the normal functioning of many enzymes. It also obstructs the utilization of oxygen and glucose for life sustaining energy production. Higher level of lead in the blood can cause kidney dysfunction and brain damage.

Arsenic and its compounds are widely distributed in nature primarily in two oxidation states, arsenite (trivalent) and arsenate (pentavalent). Inorganic arsenic is toxic to the liver and causes necrosis and cirrhosis. It also affects the bone marrow and cellular elements of blood.

## 2. Objectives And Goals

The objective of this survey is to ascertain the levels of heavy metals, namely total Arsenic, Mercury, Lead and Cadmium, in edible portions in commonly consumed fresh fish and those that are used in delicacies in Singapore. This would give an indication of the extent of consumers' exposure to the named heavy metals from consumption of these fishes.

## 3. Survey Methodologies

## a. Sampling Method, Location, Species, Number of Samples and Sampling Size

Six species of fish and shellfish were used in this
study. The species selected for analysis were based on their popularity among local consumers, habitat and feeding habits. All samples were obtained fresh from different locations, mainly from three wet-markets in Singapore. These wet-markets were Zhujiao market located in Upper Serangoon Road, Geylang Serai market in Jalan Pasar Baru and Chinatown market situated near New Bridge Road. Fishes were randomly selected and purchased. The fishes collected were of "market" size. The fishes were packed in ice and transported in an insulated container back to the laboratory. Upon arrival at the laboratory, the specimens were identified. Sea cucumbers could not be identified as the samples were bought in the processed form where most physical features had been largely destroyed.

The total length, standard length and body weight of the samples were measured. Only the edible portions were sampled. For finfish, the samples used were right skin-off fillets except for Spanish mackerel where skin-on fillets were used. Whole squids with their eyes, viscera and softshell removed were used. As for mud crabs and blood cockles, only the muscles were studied. For shellfish, a composite sample was prepared from a pool of at least 1 kg of the shellfish. In composite samples, only samples of similar size were used. After sampling, a mill was used to mince and blend the tissue to obtain a homogeneous sample.

Seven samples of each type of species $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ were used for analysis. Each sample was analysed in duplicates. Two portions of the minced tissue were weighed. These were dried at $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight for the determination of moisture. The dried samples were used directly for analysis.

## b. Method of Analysis

The instrument used for all analysis is Perkin Elmer 3300 AAS, FIAS 100, HGEA-600 and AS60.

## c. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification

All values are in dry weight basis.
Mercury:
Limit of detection sample $: 0.026 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Limit of quantitation sample $: 0.088 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Arsenic:
Limit of detection ${ }_{\text {sample }}: 0.340 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Limit of quantitation sample $: 1.133 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Cadmium:
Limit of detection ${ }_{\text {sample }}: 0.091 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Limit of quantitation sample $: 0.305 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Lead:
Limit of detection ${ }_{\text {sample }}: 0.189 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$
Limit of quantitation ${ }_{\text {sample }}^{\text {sample }}: 0.632 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$

## d. National Regulatory Limits

| Country | Cadmium | Lead | Arsenic | Mercury |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singapore | $\bullet 1 \mathrm{ppm}$ in |  |  |  |
| molluscs |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| •2 ppm in fish, <br> crustaceans, <br> molluscs and <br> in canned fish |
| :--- | | $\bullet$1 ppm in fish, <br> crustaceans, <br> molluscs and <br> in canned fish |
| :--- | | $\bullet$0.5 ppm in <br> fish and fish <br> products |
| :--- |

## 4. Results And Discussion

## a. Participation in Inter-laboratory Proficiency Testing and Results

| Year of participation | Program <br> Name | Analyte <br> Tested | Reported results (ppb) | True value | z-score | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun 2004 | FAPAS | Total As and Total Hg in canned fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: } 937.9 \\ & \text { Hg: } 74.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: } 1750 \\ & \text { Hg: } 74.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: - } \mathbf{3 . 2} \\ & \text { Hg: -0.4 } \end{aligned}$ | Passed for Hg , results rectified for As. |
| Nov 2004 | FAPAS | Total As, Cd and Pb in canned fish | As: 597.35 <br> Cd: 13.63 <br> Pb: 30.75 | As: 505 Cd: 12.9 Pb: 8.51 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As: } 1.0 \\ & \text { Cd: } 0.3 \\ & \text { Pb: } 11.9 \end{aligned}$ | Passed for As and Cd , results rectified for Pb . |
| May 2005 | FAPAS | Total As and Total Hg in canned fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: } 850.4 \\ & \text { Hg: } 380.92 \end{aligned}$ | As: 1030 <br> Hg: 397 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: }-1.1 \\ & \text { Hg: }-0.2 \end{aligned}$ | Passed |
| Apr 2005 | Canadian <br> Food <br> Inspection <br> Agency <br> (CFIA) <br> Quality <br> Assurance <br> Program | Total Hg in Tuna | 4 samples: <br> 1) 0.306 <br> 2) 0.529 <br> 3) 0.249 <br> 4) 0.409 | Not given | 1) -0.908 <br> 2) -1.046 <br> 3) -0.838 <br> 4) -1.371 | Passed |
| Mar 2006 | FAPAS | Total As, Cd and Pb in canned fish | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { As: } 479.64 \\ \text { Cd: } 9.7427 \\ \text { Pb: ND } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As: } 499 \\ & \text { Cd: } 13.7 \\ & \text { Pb: } 9.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As: }-0.2 \\ & \text { Cd: }-1.3 \\ & \text { Pb: - } \end{aligned}$ | Passed |
| Oct 2006 | FAPAS | Total As, <br> Total Hg, <br> Cd and <br> Pb in canned <br> fish | As: 321.92 <br> Hg: 14.28 <br> Cd: 9.7674 <br> Pb: 33.77 | As: 344 <br> Hg: 19.9 <br> Cd: 2.59 <br> Pb : not set | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: }-0.3 \\ & \text { Hg: }-1.3 \\ & \text { Cd: } \mathbf{1 2 . 6} \\ & \text { Pb: - } \end{aligned}$ | Passed for As and Hg , results rectified for Cd |
| Dec 2007 | Hong Kong Government Laboratory <br> Proficiency Testing <br> Programme on Heavy Metals in Food | Total As, Cd and Pb in dried shrimp powder All in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As: } 53.221 \\ & \text { Cd: } 0.145 \\ & \text { Pb: } 1.608 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As: } 60 \\ & \text { Cd: } 0.187 \\ & \text { Pb: } 1.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { As: }-1.3 \\ & \text { Cd: }-1.0 \\ & \text { Pb: } 0.99 \end{aligned}$ | Passed |
| Jun 2007 | FAPAS | Total As, <br> Total Hg, <br> Cd and <br> Pb in canned <br> fish | As: 749.88 <br> Hg: 747.63 <br> Cd: 49.23 <br> Pb : ND | As: 1124 <br> Hg: 704 <br> Cd: 52.4 <br> Pb : not set | As: -2.1 <br> Hg: 0.4 <br> Cd: -0.3 <br> Pb : | Passed |

b. Survey Results and Discussion
Table 1. Results for Total Mercury.

| Year of analysis \& Sampling location | Analyte | Fish sample analysed |  | No. of samples analysed | Min. value of results (ppm) wet weight basis | Max. value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average Recovery (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Common name | Scientific name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Total <br> Mercury | Sea cucumber | - | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND | 97.4\% | *ND-not detected |
|  |  | Blood cockle | Anadara granosa | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.012 | 0.019 | 0.014 |  |  |
|  |  | Mitre squid | Loligo chinensis | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.008 | 0.029 | 0.018 |  |  |
|  |  | Mud crab | Scylla serrata | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.018 | 0.069 | 0.053 |  |  |
|  |  | Longtail tuna | Thunnus tonggol | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.014 | 0.117 | 0.069 |  |  |
|  |  | Barred Spanish mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.037 | 0.162 | 0.081 |  |  |

Table 2. Results for Total Arsenic.

| Year of analysis \& Sampling location | Analyte | Fish sample analysed |  | No. of samples analysed | Min. value of results (ppm) wet weight basis | Max. value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average value of results (ppm) wet weight basis | Average <br> Recovery <br> (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Common name | Scientific name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Total Arsenic | Sea cucumber | - | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | 0.034 | ND | 104.8\% | *ND-not detected |
|  |  | Blood cockle | Anadara granosa | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.432 | 1.116 | 0.741 |  |  |
|  |  | Barred Spanish mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.707 | 1.354 | 1.014 |  |  |
|  |  | Longtail tuna | Thunnus tonggol | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.685 | 2.083 | 1.068 |  |  |
|  |  | Mud crab | Scylla serrata | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.737 | 4.566 | 2.167 |  |  |
|  |  | Mitre squid | Loligo chinensis | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.785 | 4.691 | 2.859 |  |  |

Note: The method used was for the detection of total arsenic. Only approximately $10-20 \%$ of the arsenic in seafood is present in an inorganic form, which is toxic.
Table 3. Results for Cadmium.

| Year of analysis \& Sampling location | Analyte | Fish sample analysed |  | No. of samples analysed | Min. value of results (ppm) wet weight basis | Max. value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average <br> Recovery <br> (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Common name | Scientific name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Cadmium | Longtail tuna | Thunnus tonggol | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND | 100.8\% | *ND-not detected |
|  |  | Barred Spanish mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND |  |  |
|  |  | Sea cucumber | - | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | ND | 0.044 | 0.010 |  |  |
|  |  | Mitre squid | Loligo chinensis | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.096 | 0.246 | 0.166 |  |  |
|  |  | Blood cockle | Anadara granosa | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.136 | 0.794 | 0.542 |  |  |

Table 4. Results for Lead.

| Year of analysis \& Sampling location | Analyte | Fish sample analysed |  | No. of samples analysed | Min. value of results (ppm) wet weight basis | Max. value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average value of results (ppm) - wet weight basis | Average <br> Recovery <br> (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Common name | Scientific name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Lead | Barred Spanish mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND | 94.0\% | *ND-not detected |
|  |  | Longtail tuna | Thunnus tonggol | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND |  |  |
|  |  | Mitre squid | Loligo chinensis | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | ND | ND |  |  |
|  |  | Sea cucumber | - | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | ND | 0.207 | 0.041 |  |  |
|  |  | Blood cockle | Anadara granosa | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | 0.096 | 0.336 | 0.212 |  |  |

## c. Corrective Actions

Not applicable.

## 5. Problems and Challenges Encountered

In this survey, only six types of species were analysed and a total of forty-two fish samples were collected. Thus, the samples collected may not be truly representative of the catches, which landed in Singapore.

## 6. Recommendations and Suggestions for Future Follow up Action

The use of quicker digestion methods, for example, use of microwave digestion, could be explored to reduce sample preparation time.

